

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,218	12/18/2001	Alper Tunga Erdogan	56162.000359	5736
75	12/08/2005		EXAM	INER
Kevin T. Duncan, Esq.			WILLIAMS, LAWRENCE B	
Hunton & Williams Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1900 K Street, N.W., Suite 1200			
Washington, DC 20006			DATE MAIL ED: 12/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Der.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Astion Common.	10/020,218	ERDOGAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lawrence B. Williams	2634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reality to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 2b 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-14, 17-22 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,5,15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6-7, 12-14, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (US Patent 6,754,261 B1).
- (1) With regard to claim 1, Liu et al. discloses in Fig. 9, a method for implementing a fast adaptive algorithm for obtaining finite impulse response filter coefficients for a time domain equalizer filter (TEQ filter), the method comprising the steps of: adaptively computing at least one equalization delay parameter (Delay); and adaptively computing at least one time domain equalizer filter coefficient based on the equalization delay parameter (col. 8, lines 23-65; col. 9, lines 20-40).

- (2) With regard to claim 2, Liu et al. also discloses wherein an overall channel impulse response length is shortened within a given target length (col. 8, lines 39-42).
- (3) With regard to claim 6, Liu et al. also discloses wherein the step of adaptively computing the time domain equalizer filter coefficient further comprises the step of: minimizing mean square error criterion (col. 8, lines 56-59).
- (4) With regard to claim 7, Liu et al. also discloses wherein the step of adaptively computing the equalization delay parameter further comprises the step of: implementing one or more of training sequences and received sequences (col. 8, lines 43-45).
- (5) With regard to claim 8, Liu et al. also discloses wherein the training sequences comprise consecutive samples of a received signal (col. 7, lines 5-13).
- (6) With regard to claim 9, Liu et al. also discloses wherein the time domain equalizer filter is a sample spaced finite impulse response filter (col. 8, lines 65-67). Liu et al. discloses taps, which is synonymous to sample space in the filter.
- (7) With regard to claim 12, Liu et al. discloses in Fig. 9, a system for implementing a fast adaptive algorithm for obtaining finite impulse response filter coefficients for a time domain equalizer tilter, the system comprising; a delay module (Delay) for adaptively computing at least one equalization delay parameter; and an equalizer module (TEQ filter) for adaptively computing at least one time domain equalizer filter coefficient based on the equalization delay parameter.
- (8) With regard to claim 13, Liu et al. also discloses wherein an overall channel impulse response length is shortened within a given target length (col. 8, lines 39-42; col. 9, lines 20-40).
- (9) With regard to claim 14, Liu et al. discloses the use of the MSE, which would inherently includes some means for its computation (col. 8, lines 56-59).

- (10) With regard to claim 17, Liu et al. discloses minimizing mean square error criterion (col. 8, lines 56-58), which would inherently include some apparatus or module for its computation.
- (11) With regard to claim 18, Liu et al. also discloses implementing one or more of training sequences and received sequences (col. 8, lines 43-45), which would inherently include an implementing module or apparatus.
- (12) With regard to claim 19, Liu et al. also discloses also discloses wherein the training sequences comprise consecutive samples of a received signal (col. 7, lines 5-13).
- (13) With regard to claim 20, Liu et al. also discloses wherein the time domain equalizer filter is a sample spaced finite impulse response filter (col. 8, lines 65-67). Liu et al. discloses taps, which is synonymous to sample space in the filter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (US Patent 6,754,261 B1) as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Gatherer (5,461,640).
- (1) With regard to claim 3, claim 3 inherits all limitations of claim 1 above. As noted above, Liu et al. discloses all limitations of claim 1 above. Liu et al. does not however disclose

wherein the step of adaptively computing the equalization delay parameter further comprises the step of: computing an estimate cross-correlation function.

However, Gatherer discloses a step of adaptively computing the equalization delay parameter further comprises the step of: computing an estimate cross-correlation function (col. 6, lines 8-17).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Gatherer with the invention of Liu et al. as a computationally less complex method of equalizing a signal transmitted through a distorted channel.

- (2) With regard to claim 14, Gatherer et al. also discloses in Fig. 3, wherein the delay module further comprises: a cross-correlation module (21c) for computing an estimate cross-correlation function (col. 6, lines 8-17; col. 8, lines 4-6).
- 6. Claims 9, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (US Patent 6,754,261 B1) as applied to claims 1, 12 above, and further in view of Trans et al. (US 2003/0016770 A1).
- (1) With regard to claim 9, as noted above, claim 9 inherits all limitations of claim 1 above. As noted above, Liu et al. discloses all limitations of claim 1. Liu et al. does not however disclose wherein the time domain equalizer filter is a fractionally spaced finite impulse response filter.

However, Trans et al. discloses in Fig. 29, a channel equalization stem and method wherein he incorporates the use of a fractionally spaced finite impulse response filter.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,218

Art Unit: 2634

Page 6

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate

the teachings of Trans et al. with the invention of Liu et al. as a method of equalizing precursor

ISI (paragraph [0227]).

(2) With regard to claim 21, claim 21 discloses limitations similar to those disclosed in

claim 9, therefore a similar rejection applies.

7. Claims 10, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al.

(US Patent 6,754,261 B1) as applied to claims 1, 12 above, and further in view of Trans et al.

(US 2003/0016770 A1).

(1) With regard to claim 10, as noted above, Liu et al. discloses all limitations of claim 1.

Liu et al. does not however disclose wherein the time domain equalizer filter minimizes one or

more of energy inter symbol interference and inter channel interference.

However, Trans et al. discloses in wherein the time domain equalizer filter minimizes one

or more of energy inter symbol interference and inter channel interference (paragraph [0227]).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate

the teachings of Trans et al. with the invention of Liu et al. as a method of insuring the accurate

receipt of the transmitted signals.

(2) With regard to claim 20, claim 20 discloses limitations similar to those disclosed in

claim 10. Therefore a similar rejection applies.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/020,218 Page 7

Art Unit: 2634

8. Claims 4, 5 and 15, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a.) Everitt discloses in US Patent 6,061,396 Method and Apparatus For Modified Baud Rate Sampling.
- b.) Davis et al. Discloses in US Patent 4,899,366 Tap Rotation In Fractionally Spaced Equalizer To Compensate For Drift Due To Fixed Sample Rate.
- c.) Farhang-Boroujeny et al. discloses in US Patent 6,853,626 B1 Method And Apparatus For Echo Cancellation In An Asymmetric Communication System.
- d.) Erving et al. discloses in US 2002/0154716 A1 Efficient Reduced Complexity Windowed Optimal Time Domain Equalizer For Discrete Multitone-Based DSL Modem.
- e.) Rafie et al. discloses in US Patent 6,628,707 B1 Adaptive Equalizer system For Short Burst Modems And Link Hopping Radio Networks.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence B Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-3037. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lawrence B. Williams

lbw

December 2, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER